

[Part 1](#), [Part 2](#), [Part 3](#), [Part 4](#), [Part 5](#), [Part 6](#)

Part 4: HOW WELL DOES EVOLUTION THEORY AGREE WITH SCIENTIFIC PRINCIPLES AND DISCOVERY?

[4-A: Introduction](#)

[4-B: Complexity of the Natural World - Evidence of Supernatural Designer](#)

[4-C: Laws of Science](#)

[4-D: The Marvel of DNA \(Deoxyribonucleic Acid\) Structures](#)

[4-E: Monkey-to-Man Evolution? Missing Evidence of Missing Links](#)

[4-F: Micro Versus Macro Evolution](#)

[4-G: The Problem with Darwinism](#)

[4-H: In Summary, What Does Science Tell Us about Evolution Theory?](#)

Physics: The Laws of Thermodynamics tell us that the universe and the natural world are getting more scattered and disordered, not more complex and organized.

Biology: The Laws of Genetics reveal that a “barrier” exists to prevent species from changing their basic gene structures. In addition, the complex organization of DNA structures points to the hand of an intelligent Designer at work, not a mindless process of random probability.

Scientific Method: True theories about the past build on solid evidence. The fossil, geological, and other evidence supports the belief that the natural world was created by a Higher Power, and later re-created in the Flood catastrophe; the natural world did not create itself.

From all that we have learned so far, we can only conclude that evolution theory is flawed in many aspects. Of course, we should keep those aspects that have a scientific basis (micro-evolution) but be willing to reject that which defies scientific knowledge and discovery.

Micro-evolution:

- Scientific
- Observed in the natural world

Macro-evolution:

- Lacks scientific basis
- Not observed in nature

Belief in a Supernatural Creator, or Intelligent Designer, should no longer be labeled as superstition or a throwback to some primitive era but accepted as a genuinely scientific explanation for the origins of the natural world.

Continue to [**Part 5: How Old Is the Natural World?**](#)